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Risk of uterine rupture in subsequent pregnancies / deliveries after C-section

- Higher among women with a previous C-section
- Higher in low income countries
- And again higher when Inter-Pregnancy-Interval (IPI)* is short (<24 months)

→ These factors increases the risks for uterine ruptures 2–3 times
→ Uterine Ruptures account for 8% of all maternal mortalities!
Risk counselling activities post C-section

- Hospital based delivery
- Family planning methods using various choices
- So that child spacing is at least 24 months so that the uterus scar is healed
How well are these moms doing two years after a C-section?

What was the situation as regards to child spacing?
Burundi - Context

- Small country in Central Africa with ~ 10 million people
- Maternal mortality ~ 650/100,000 live births (2/100,000 in Sweden)
- CURGO covered 1 from the 17 provinces
- Of all emergency deliveries in 2012 at CURGO, 49% were C-sections
Objectives

Two years post C-section we determined:

- **Clinical**
  - Pregnancies or deliveries
  - Where these deliveries took place
  - Uterine rupture and maternal death

- **Family Planning (FP)**
  - Uptake and which methods being used
  - Inter-Pregnancy-Interval (IPI)
Methods

- **Study design:** Household Survey using a structured questionnaire.

- **Study population:** 1) All women who underwent a C-section at CURGO,
  2) Discharge: July – September 2012,
  3) Residing in Bujumbura Rural province.

- **Ethics:** National Ethics Committee in Burundi and MSF Ethics Review Board.
156 women were documented to have undergone a C-section

- 31 (20%) unknown by village leader
- 8 (5%) migrated out of catchment area
- 1 (0.6%) died of cholera

Women included in the study
## Baseline characteristics (n=116)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age in years</strong></td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gravidity</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parity</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indication for CS (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructed labor (Cephalo-pelvic-)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Caesarean Section</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mal presentation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Distress</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Newborn status at discharge (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>(92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New pregnancies - deliveries

17 (15%) pregnant at time of interview
+ 
23 (20%) already delivered
= 
40 (35%) women became pregnant after last C-section
Women who delivered since C-section in 2012 (n=23)
Maternal morbidity and mortality

- One woman had a uterine rupture
- One woman was hospitalized for a pregnancy related illness
- There were no maternal deaths
Family Planning (FP)

- FP country wide: \(30\%\)
- FP at discharge after C-section in our programme: \(72\%\)

HAPPY?!?!!
FP uptake at discharge and at time of interview

- Oral contraception
  - 2012: 0
  - 2014: 18

- Implant
  - 2012: 35
  - 2014: 53

- None
  - 2012: 70
  - 2014: 35
Reasons why not on family planning

2012: 33 (28%)  
2014: 65 (56%)  
NA: 17 (15%)
FP acceptance at discharge – pregnancy

40 pregnancies post C-section

21
FP in relation to Inter-Pregnancy-Interval (IPI)

27 (68%) women on FP

16 months (3 – 24)
Median (Range)

13 (32%) women not on FP

15 months (5 – 21)
Median (Range)
Conclusions

- Vulnerable women still end up delivering outside the hospital even when they should have had access. We don‘t know the reasons why and therefore should be investigated.

- One uterine rupture was found

- There was no maternal death
Conclusions

- The acceptance of family planning per-se did not influence the inter-pregnancy-interval

- Socio-cultural factors are probably playing a more important role in child spacing:
  - early engagement of husbands should be enhanced
  - further investigation should allow to adopt different counselling strategies
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